

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION

ROBYN PIKOFF,  
Plaintiff

v.

ENHANCED RECOVERY COMPANY, LLC,  
Defendant

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:11-CV-893

**PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

**Introduction**

1. This is an action for actual and statutory damages brought by plaintiff, Robyn Pikoff, an individual consumer, against defendant, Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC, for violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692, *et seq.*, (hereafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

**Jurisdiction**

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. §1337. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. Venue in this District is proper in that the defendant transacts business here and the conduct complained of occurred here.

**Parties**

3. Plaintiff Robyn Pikoff, is a natural person residing in the Western District of Texas, Austin Division.

4. Defendant Enhanced Recovery Company, LLC, is a Florida company engaged in the business of collecting debts in this state. The principal purpose of defendant is the collection of debts and defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.

5. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant regularly attempts to collect consumer debts alleged to be due to another. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6).

**Factual Background**

6. By correspondence on the letterhead of defendant, defendant mailed a collection letter to plaintiff demanding payment of a debt.

7. The collection letter was received by plaintiff at her residence in Travis County, Texas.

8. The alleged debt of plaintiff identified in the letter was allegedly incurred for personal, family, or household services.

9. In response to the letter, plaintiff’s counsel requested verification of the debt. Defendant never provided verification.

10. In addition, counsel notified defendant that the letter was sent pursuant to the federal debt collection laws. Counsel notified defendant that the alleged debt was disputed. Counsel notified defendant that it was not authorized to further contact plaintiff.

11. Specifically, in the letter plaintiff’s counsel notified defendant as follows:

Pursuant to law, please do not communicate with my client in any fashion. Please direct all further correspondence concerning this matter to me. You are no longer authorized to attempt to communicate with my client concerning this alleged debt or any other alleged debt. If you do so, after receiving this notice, I will consider that a violation of my client’s rights under the federal and state fair debt collection laws. \* \* \* If there is any further communication, after you receive this letter, I will consider it a violation of federal law.

12. Defendant ignored the notice and sent another collection letter to plaintiff at her home address.

13. As a result of the acts above, plaintiff suffered compensable damages.

**Claims for Relief**

14. Defendant violated the FDCPA. Defendant's violations include, but are not limited to, the following:

(A) Defendant failed to send plaintiff a validation notice within five days of the initial communication, either written or oral.

(B) After plaintiff requested validation of the debt, defendant continued collection activities.

(C) Defendant's communication contained a false impression of the character, amount, or legal status of the alleged debt.

(D) Defendant used false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the debt collection.

(E) Defendant attempted to collect any amount not authorized by any agreement creating a debt.

(F) Defendant's communication creates confusion about the plaintiff's rights.

(G) Defendant used unfair or unconscionable means to attempt to collect the alleged debt.

(H) Defendant engaged in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of the alleged debt.

15. As a result of the foregoing violations of the FDCPA, defendant is liable to plaintiff for declaratory judgment that defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney fees.

**Prayer**

16. ACCORDINGLY, plaintiff requests that judgment be entered against defendant for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- B. Actual damages;
- C. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k; and
- E. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

CREWS LAW FIRM, P.C.  
701 Brazos, Suite 900  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 346-7077  
(512) 342-0007 (Fax)

By: /s/ Joe K. Crews  
Joe K. Crews  
State Bar No. 05072500

GREENSTEIN & KOLKER  
1006 E. Cesar Chavez Street  
Austin, Texas 78702  
(512) 472-6270  
(512) 472-8263 -Fax

Thomas L. Kolker  
State Bar No. 11667300

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF